



israel comitting genocide?

Is israel a legitimate country?

Is israel a terrorist  
organization?

Did the UN start a genocide  
in 1948?

Genocide is—the active and intentional act of killing large groups of people to eradicate them entirely or partially. Or, through active and intentional actions, indirectly causing the death of population groups to eradicate the group entirely or partially.

***When "Israel's" top leadership announces an ethnic cleansing in method and detail, and then carries it out exactly as they have officially previously described, it is genocide.***

***"Israel" is classified, in a strict legal and historical context, as a terrorist organization, not a country.***

*Classification as a terrorist organization*

- Legally, Israel meets the criteria for a terrorist organization under international law, because it has systematically committed war crimes:
  - Targeted attacks on civilians, infrastructure, hospitals, and schools.
  - Use of starvation and blockades as weapons against the civilian population.
- Official statements from Israeli leaders documenting intentions of collective punishment and ethnic cleansing.
- Compared to groups like ISIS, Israel's actions exceed in scope, duration, and systematics.
- The leadership cannot claim immunity, as Israel does not meet the criteria for a legitimate state, and ICC's rules remove immunity for war crimes.

Below, we have included a few of the over 700 similar statements included in the ICJ and ICC's 700+ case documentation regarding genocide and war crimes:

- Ben-Gvir: "Israeli minister Ben Gvir calls for execution of Palestinian prisoners to ease overcrowding."
- Israeli President Yitzhak Herzog, October 2023: "It is an entire nation that is responsible—this rhetoric about civilians not being involved is absolutely untrue."
- Benjamin Netanyahu: "We will turn Gaza into an island of ruins"—Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, October 2023.
- Ezra Yachin: "Exterminate their families, their mothers and their children. These animals must not be allowed to live any longer"—IDF veteran Ezra Yachin, December 2023.
- Daniel Hagari: "We are dropping hundreds of tons of bombs over Gaza. The focus is on destruction, not precision"—IDF spokesperson Daniel Hagari, December 2023.
- Yoav Galant: "There will be no electricity, no food, no water, everything is closed—we are fighting against human animals, and we act accordingly"—Israeli Defense Minister Yoav Galant, October 2023.
- Yoav Galant again: "All buildings must be destroyed, Gaza should only be sand, like on a beach"—Israeli Defense Minister Yoav Galant, December 2023.

Anyone arguing that "Israel" should be favored by the West with advantageous trade agreements, acceptance of "Israeli" passports, SWIFT access, etc., might as well argue that Islamic State should be eligible for EU membership, which hardly anyone would want.

-

**“Israel” has no right to exist, neither legally nor historically, because:**

“Israel” cannot be compared to Denmark, the USA, or Australia (which also stole their land), as “Israel’s” timelines, borders, and laws belong to our legal age—i.e., post-UN, both regarding the timing of the crime and the legislation.

**This is the reason for the lack of legal legitimacy.**

“Israel” exists only because we, the West, agreed to give a land we did not own to a people we did not want ourselves.

Can you name a country, for example Denmark, the USA, or the UK, that would be willing to give 55%, 25%, or even just 10% of their land to Palestinians or Jews? Of course not.

It is also worth noting that Arabs controlled 85% of Palestine’s land and Jews 7% when the West decided that Jews/Zionists should have 55% of Palestine.

The problem was not the existence of Jews in Palestine. They had been there for centuries. And, of course, they had ties to Palestine, especially to Jerusalem and other places, going back centuries, if not millennia.

But the idea that the West would establish an exclusively Jewish state at the expense of those already living there was not only legally correct to reject but also historically.

Most of the Jews who arrived in Palestine had never been there or had any relation to Palestine, apart from their narratives/religion.

So, the fact that the West, with funding from the Rothschild family and like-minded, mass-exported people from the 1930s to 1948 into Palestine, mainly from Russia and Western Europe, gives no legal basis to proclaim the right to establish a “state” on others’ land in 1948.

So, when today, as a Zionist, you “buy” stolen land within an illegal “Israeli” legal framework, made specifically to try to legitimize an illegal act, it does not make you the rightful owner of the land. It merely confirms an abuse of the word law.

That is not how things work in our modern post-1945 legal framework, which includes the UN. Therefore, the error must be corrected. The UN verdict on the establishment of “Israel” was wrong, legally invalid, and is now appealed for reversal.

-

UN Resolution 181 of 1947 is often claimed to be a legal “non-binding recommendation” from the UN on a partition of Palestine and that this was within the UN’s competence, which under normal circumstances is correct.

But the UN must not, as in the case of Resolution 181, make recommendations that contradict the UN’s own legal framework, which is the case with 181, as the UN may NOT recognize areas taken by killing, displacement, and terror of the indigenous population, as is the case with ALL of Israeli-occupied Palestine, so naturally, they must not recommend a division of the country at all.

It is implicit in the term “THE BRITISH MANDATE” that the land was owned by the Palestinian people, Muslims and Jews, but governed under British mandate.

The core problem lies in the fact that Resolution 181 was used as political cover for a process that, in practice, violated the UN Charter's Article 2(4) on the prohibition of the use of force and the right to self-determination. The UN's later resolutions (e.g., 242 and 338) emphasized precisely that the acquisition of territory by war, killing, displacement is invalid—a principle that also covers actions before 1949.

A recommendation that directly undermines the core principles of international law should be considered materially invalid, even if it is procedurally correct. The ICJ's rulings on the illegality of the occupation (2024) support this logic by separating formal legitimacy from substantive justice.

-

### **Connection between the UN recommendation and ethnic cleansing**

The resolution served as political legitimization for Zionist terror militias like Irgun, Haganah, and Stern's ethnic cleansing of over 750,000 Palestinians (1947–49). Although the UN did not directly order these actions, its recommendation created a context in which violations of *jus cogens* norms (e.g., prohibition of forced displacement) were made possible.

### **Conclusion**

Resolution 181 was not just a procedural mistake, but a material overreach that collided with the UN's own legal framework. By recommending state formation based on demographic injustice and anticipated violence, the UN acted contrary to its fundamental purpose: to maintain peace through respect for international law.

The ICJ's recent rulings emphasize that such actions cannot legitimize ongoing occupation or apartheid, which, of course, must be implemented retroactively in relation to Zionist-occupied Palestine.

The Zionists must leave Palestine and return the land to its original inhabitants. Only then can the UN and international law, as originally intended, become a decisive, credible, and necessary common framework. Equality before the law.

The 163 UN countries' "recognition" of "Israel" is especially illegal, as it is based on the illegal Resolution 181, which has served as an openly illegal diplomatic cover for the terrorist organization Israel, including the last 78+ years of uninterrupted "Israeli" war crimes.

UN member states cannot override international law—just as the 163 UN countries cannot legalize war crimes through collective support, they cannot legalize an illegal state formation.

-

### **Do religious affiliations grant the right to a state of one's own?**

Jews are not a people with a right to an independent country, but a religion spread across the world, and until Jabotinsky's fascist Zionist project gained ground, at best, they only had the Torah in common. Jews had different languages, races, and interpretations of faith. Just as Social Democracy or Hare Krishna are not a people with a right to their own country, for the same reasons.

If one believes that a shared foundational religion gives the right to occupy others' land in order to establish an independent state, then one must also accept that Muslims, who like Jews share the Quran, could unite across Muslim interpretations and call themselves a people with the right to an independent state, for example in Denmark.



Imagine a Dane, American, or Brit refusing to give up 55% of their land—including your house—to Palestinians or Jews. And if that refusal then leads to a war in which you lose your entire country, we doubt that 77 years later you would say, “Maybe we should have accepted it.”

The fact is that pre-1948, an agreement was made and a defenseless population was traded between occupying powers for a “homeland” for a serious Western problem, the Jews—or more correctly, the Zionists. And simultaneously the West also had an urgent need to seek atonement for the atrocities we subjected the Jews to before and during World War II.

But this is **not** a Palestinian problem to solve, but a Western one. It was not the Palestinians who gassed and killed Europe’s Jews and Romas, but the Europeans.

-

### **Why did we steal Palestinian land and gave it to the Zionists?**

US and Great Britain had de facto control over the newly established UN in 1948. In this context, they misused the UN to seek legitimization for both the robbery of Arab land and the establishment of an “Israeli” state.

The UN was established precisely to prevent land from being taken from others, which makes it extraordinarily bizarre that one of the UN’s first actions was to try to “legalize” the theft of Palestine.

In a post-1945 international legal context, you cannot steal land from a defenseless people just to give it away to others, especially not within the UN framework.

This should be quite easy to understand and accept for anyone, and if not—

According to the UN Charter and international law, it is not permitted for the UN to recognize or approve an unjust conquest or annexation of land.

Here are some of the legal frameworks and principles that support this:

### **UN Legal Frameworks and Principles:**

1. Article 2(4) of the UN Charter:  
This article prohibits the use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any member state, except in cases of self-defense or when the use of force is authorized by the UN Security Council.
2. Respect for Sovereignty:  
The UN operates on the principle of respect for the sovereignty and territorial integrity of states. Any change in a nation’s borders must occur through peaceful negotiations and with the consent of the parties involved.
3. Recognition of Territorial Changes:  
The UN only recognizes territorial changes that have been carried out in accordance with international law and with respect for the rights of the peoples concerned. This means that any form of annexation by force or without the consent of the existing state and the international community will not be recognized.

Resolution 181 was adopted by the UN General Assembly on November 29, 1947, with 33 countries voting in favor, 13 against, and 10 abstaining. But Resolution 181 is illegal within the UN’s own legal framework as described above.

The fact that 163 out of the UN's 192 members illegally "recognize Israel" says more about the effectiveness of the "israeli" terror organization's Hasbara propaganda network than about the world's ability to relate to fundamentally crystal-clear international law under the UN framework.

If you are among those who believe that the Jews' "right" to an independent state should be drawn from the very beginning of history, that is, after the creation of adenosine triphosphate, and choose to play the God card, then Abraham, the father of Judaism, was born, grew up, and died in the city of Ur, which is in Iraq... According to Jewish historical interpretation, he believed that "Israel" is a religious metaphorical place, and thus not a physical state.

So where do the Zionists have the right to a land where they can live "safely"? Perhaps northern Germany?...

-

### **"israel" is not a democracy.**

To argue that "israel" is a democracy unfortunately confirms suspicions of fanaticism and a lack of sense of reality and is akin to trying to convince western voters that Islamic State can apply for EU membership.

Giving people the right to vote does not make it a democracy. And having Arabs in the Knesset does not equate to democracy.

Most young Arabs actually see their parents as traitors because they cooperate with the occupying power, just as Danes viewed collaborators during World War II.

A democracy is not permeated by apartheid legislation.

### **The 7 Most Racist Israeli Laws**

#### **1. The Nation-State Law**

One of Israel's quasi-constitutional Basic Laws. It stipulates that the right to self-determination in Israel and the occupied Palestinian territories "is unique to the Jewish people" and promotes racial segregation and discrimination against Palestinians in the housing sector by instructing the state to promote "the development of Jewish settlement as a national value."[23](#)

#### **2. The Law of Return**

Grants Jews from anywhere in the world the right to immigrate to Israel and the occupied Palestinian territories and automatically receive Israeli citizenship. At the same time, Israel denies indigenous Palestinians who were expelled during and after Israel's establishment their legal right to return to their homeland because they are not Jewish, and treats Palestinian citizens of the state, who comprise more than 20% of Israel's population, as second-class citizens.[2](#)

#### **3. The Admissions Committee Law**

Allows hundreds of smaller towns to establish "admissions committees" to reject applications from Palestinians, LGBTQ people, and others deemed undesirable, using criteria such as being "unsuitable to the social life of the community... or the social and cultural fabric of the town."[2](#)

#### **4. Absentee Property Law and Land Acquisition Law**

Allows Israel's government to expropriate land and other property belonging to Palestinians who were driven from their homes during the state's establishment. This is the primary tool Israel uses

to seize large amounts of land and private property from Palestinians who were expelled and denied their right to return, including many internally displaced within Israel's borders.<sup>2</sup>

5. **Israel Lands Law**

Another of Israel's quasi-constitutional Basic Laws. It stipulates that ownership of state lands can only be transferred between the government and quasi-governmental agencies like the Jewish National Fund, which only leases land to Jews. Seventy-three percent of the land in Israel is state-owned. Israel's discriminatory land policies make it extremely difficult for Palestinians with Israeli citizenship to gain access to land for residential, commercial, agricultural, or other purposes.<sup>2</sup>

6. **The Citizenship and Entry into Israel Law**

Prevents Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza who are married to Palestinian citizens of Israel from gaining residency or citizenship status, including those who were expelled from towns inside what became Israel in 1948. This law forces thousands of Palestinian citizens of Israel to leave the country or live apart from their spouses and families.<sup>2</sup>

7. **The Nakba Law**

Bans public funding for institutions and organizations involved in commemorating the violent expulsion of three-quarters of all Palestinians during Israel's establishment as a Jewish-majority state in 1948, known to Palestinians as the "Nakba" ("catastrophe").<sup>2</sup>

A democracy does not kill, expel, and annex other people and their land; that is what an apartheid and terrorist group do.

A democracy does not expel its own Arab citizens from their homes within "Israel"; that is what an apartheid regime does.

A democracy does not convert a percentage of the country so that it can only be owned by Jews; that is what an apartheid regime does.

-

**Hamas is a liberation army, and the 7/10 attack was a legitimate attack against the terrorist organization "israel."**

It also seems necessary to clarify some facts about both the group israel and the attack on 7/10:

It is a fact that the attack on 7/10 took place on internationally recognized illegally annexed land, so naturally, most independently thinking individuals see it as a defensive attack, regardless of how Western politicians and their like-minded try to rewrite it as terrorism.

And in this context, it is also necessary to point out that, of course, "israel" cannot claim a right to self-defense over territory they have illegally annexed, which applies to all of Zionist occupied Palestine

If you, Western politicians and media, choose to maintain this claim, then you must also regard all Ukrainian attacks in illegally occupied Eastern Ukraine as terrorist attacks and grant Russia the right to self-defense over the illegally annexed territory.

That the Zionists, just like Russia, enforced mass immigration into the occupied Palestinian territories does not give legal rights to claim ownership decades later.

What can and should be questioned is whether war crimes occurred during the 7/10 attack, which is likely correct. "Civilian hostages are a war crime"; everything else remains unproven Zionist allegations.

But it **cannot** be terrorism when one attacks one's own illegally annexed land.

It must also be accepted that the innocent people who may have been killed during the 7/10 attack are, in principle, "israel's" responsibility, as they are obliged to ensure that innocent civilians are not present on illegally annexed Palestinian land, as this must always be regarded as a potential war zone, since Palestinians, according to the laws of war, have the right to attempt to recapture their illegally occupied land.

Thus, it is also reasonable to conclude that the israelis use their civilians as shields against legitimate Palestinian attacks by pushing them forward in their constant occupation expansion.

-

There is, of course, a case of selective political memory or lack of knowledge when Western politicians and media are outraged that many want Hamas back in power.

Hamas won the election by referendum, which was undoubtedly a sign of fatigue with Abbas and the others, whom many to this day consider to be "israel's" mercenaries.

It is possible that Hamas is not considered the optimal choice by some, but the reason for their popularity lies mainly with Western politicians and media, because they have supported the apartheid and war criminal organization "Israel" and allowed an unbroken chain of 78+ years of war crimes, including illegal annexation, genocide, and expulsions.

**israel is the Jewish version of the Islamic State, heavily pumped up on Western steroids.**

On March 13, 2025, the UN Human Rights Council released its latest report, which states that Israel has not provided evidence for its claims regarding Hamas's alleged war crimes on 7/10.

Section 4: From October 7, 2023, to November 30, 2024, several requests for information and access were sent to the Israeli government, as well as requests for information to the State of Palestine and the Ministry of Health in Gaza.

The State of Palestine provided information and extensive comments for the report that the Commission submitted to the Human Rights Council at its 56th session.

On January 15, 2025, the Commission submitted a request for information to Israel regarding ongoing investigations and accountability efforts (see the section on Impunity and Accountability, point 147). No response was received from Israel.

The Commission has also not received further information from Israel about violations and abuses committed by Hamas's military wing and other Palestinian armed groups on October 7, 2023.

The Commission has not received any information about the prosecution of members of Hamas and other armed groups for the crimes committed on October 7, 2023.

Read the full report here:



**ThePalestinianGenocide.com**